UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,350	05/25/2001	Martin Cullen	. P-3925-1	2355
MYRON AME	7590 05/15/2007 R P C		EXAM	INER
114 Old Country Road			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
Suite 310 Mineola, NY 1	1501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			·	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		05/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		r				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
lotific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/864,350	CULLEN, MARTIN			
(37 CFR 41.37)		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maurina Rachuba	3723			
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
The Ap	opeal Brief filed on <u>25 May 2005</u> is defective for t	failure to comply with one or more	e provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio				
1. 🛚	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	inder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ms are not under the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFR			
8. 🗵	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🛛	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interference $41.37(c)(1)(x)$.					
10.🖾	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):				
	1. Improper Headings: The brief contains improper "Issues" should be renamed Grounds of Rejection to combined with Arguments. 8. and 9. Evidence Appendix and Related Proceed brief. If nothing is being submitted with these two some section must identifule. Ford or Microsoft).	o be Reviewed on Appeal. "Grouping lings Appendix: These two sections a ections, an indication of "NONE" is n	ng of Claims" should be nare missing from this appeal nequired.			

Leneetha L. Dyar Patent Appeal Center Specialist